IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 21858 of 2003

Between:

M.Harikrishna, S/o. Sankarachari, Aged about 32 years,
Occ: Unemployee, R/o.Brahmin Sreet, Chandragiri [PO&M], Chittoor District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Registrar, Rashtriya Sanskrit Vidya Peeth [Deemed University], Tirupathi, Chittoor District.
2 The District Employment Officer, District Employment Exchange, Chittoor, Chittoor District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the action of the 1st respondent as being arbitrary and violation of principles of natural justice while directing the 1st respondent to permit the petitioner for interview to the post of PHYSCIAL TRAINING INSTRUCTOR in the 1st respondent's Office, scheduled to be held on 18.10.2003 or any other date without insisting that petitioner's name should be sponsored by the Concerned Employment Exchange.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR. P.VENKATA RAO

Counsel for Respondent No.1 : MR. T.S.HARANATH

The Court made the following ORDER:

Questioning the action of the first respondent in not considering the case of the petitioner, permitting him for the interview to the post of Physical Trainee Instructor held on
18-10-2003 on the ground that the name of the petitioner was not sponsored by the concerned Employment Exchange, this writ petition is filed.

2. This Court by interim order dated 17-10-2003 in W.P.M.P. No.27281 of 2003, directed to permit the petitioner for the interview and the test to be conducted for the post of Physical Trainee Instructor in the first respondent-Organisation scheduled to be held on 18-10-2003 or any other date, without insisting upon his name being sponsored by the Employment Exchange. However, not to finalise the result of the interviews until further orders.

3. A counter has been filed by the first respondent stating that the petitioner was also called for the interview, which was fixed on 9-1-2004 along with others, and the petitioner also appeared before the Selection Committee, and therefore nothing survives to the writ petition and the writ petition may be disposed of.

4. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, as the petitioner has already called for the interview and the petitioner also appeared before the Selection Committee and the result was not declared pursuant to the said interim order, the respondents are directed to declare the results also and if the petitioner is selected to the post of Physical Trainee Instructor in the first respondent-Organisation, appropriate orders may be passed for his employment.

5. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

_____

(V.ESWARAIAH, J)

Dated: 27th July, 2004.

Shr/Ak*

To

1.The Registrar, Rashtriya Sanskrit Vidya Peeth [Deemed

University], Tirupathi, Chittoor District.
2.The District Employment Officer, District Employment

Exchange, Chittoor, Chittoor District.

3.Two CD copies.